

**MINUTES**  
Virginia Outdoors Foundation (VOF)  
Board of Trustees Meeting  
October 16, 2017

**ATTENDEES:**

**Trustees:**

Ms. Steph Ridder, Chair  
Mrs. Viola Baskerville  
Ms. Eleanor Brown  
Ms. Elizabeth Obenshain  
Mr. John Richardson  
Mr. Thomas Slater  
Mr. Brent Thompson

**Consultants:**

Richard Mahevich, Office of the Attorney  
General  
Sean Ryan, The Hodges Partnership  
Josh Dare, The Hodges Partnership

**Other Attendees:**

Rex Linville, Piedmont Environmental Council  
(PEC)  
Ellen Shepherd, VaULT  
Peter Huber, Amherst Town Manager  
Sharon Turner – interested citizen  
Saemi Murphy – Conrad Firm  
Molly Plautz – Dominion Resources Services,  
Inc.  
Ann Loomis – Dominion Energy  
Jen Kostyniak - Dominion Energy  
Susan King – Dominion Virginia Power  
Heather Richards -The Conservation Fund  
Diana Norris – PEC  
Robert Zullo – Richmond Times Dispatch  
Aaron Ruby – Dominion Energy  
Georgianne Stinnett – Students Against Climate  
Change  
Trieset Lockwood – Virginia League of  
Conservation Voters  
Danielle Booth – landowner  
Lou Thompson – interested citizen  
Brooks Smith - Troutman Sanders  
Joseph Dawley- EQT  
Robert Hare, Dominion Resources Services, Inc.

Brian Wilson - Dominion Resources Services,  
Inc.  
Jena Mier – NextEra Energy  
Jeff Kleinfelder – NextEra Energy  
Carlton Ballowe – interested citizen  
Broddus Fitzpatrick – Blue Ridge Land  
Conservancy  
William Snow – Valley Conservation Council  
Lucille Miller – interested citizen  
Morgan Butler –Southern Environmental Law  
Center  
Steve Van Lear – interested citizen  
Stephanie Ray – interested citizen  
Natalie Slate – Greenville county  
David Perry – Blue Ridge Land Conservancy  
Meagan Cupka – Blue Ridge Land Conservancy  
Ernest Reed – Wild Virginia  
Kirk Bowers – Sierra Club  
David Johnson – interested citizen  
Kate Wofford – Shenandoah Valley Network  
Jack Wilson – interested citizen  
Roberta Boudurant – interested citizen  
Grace Terry – MVP impacted land owner  
Faye Cooper – Valley Conservation Council

**Staff:**

Brett Glymph, Executive Director  
Justin Altice, Stewardship Specialist  
Bobbie Cabibbo, Executive Assistant  
Anna Chisholm, Administrative Director  
Brian Fuller, Assistant Stewardship Director  
Leslie Grayson, Deputy Director of Policy &  
Acquisitions  
Harry Hibbitts, Assistant Stewardship Director  
Abbe Kennedy, Stewardship Specialist  
Martha Little, Deputy Director, Stewardship  
Martha Morris, Stewardship Specialist  
Dave Morton, IT/GIS Manager  
Erika Richardson, Assistant Stewardship  
Director  
Brynn Sonnett, Land Conservation Assistant

DRAFT

### **APPROVAL OF THE ORDER OF BUSINESS**

Motion made by Mr. Slater to approve the order of business; motion seconded by Mr. Richardson and passed unanimously.

### **PUBLIC COMMENTS**

Public comments began at 10:07 am with the following people participating:

1. The Honorable William Howell -
2. Steve Van Lear - citizen
3. Rex Linville, Piedmont Conservation Council (PEC)
4. Stephanie Ray - citizen
5. Danielle Booth - citizen
6. Natalie Slate - citizen
7. Carlton Ballowe - citizen
8. Dave Perry – Blue Ridge Land Conservancy
9. Broddus Fitzpatrick- Blue Ridge LLC (BRLC)
10. Meagan Cupka – BRLC –
11. William Snow – Valley Conservation Council
12. Nancy Sorrells – Augusta County Alliance -
13. Ernest Reed – Wild Virginia – Nelson County –
14. Lucille Miller – citizen -
15. Kirk Bowers – Sierra Club
16. Morgan Butler – Southern Environmental Law Center
17. David Johnson – citizen – former DCR employee
18. Kate Wofford – Shenandoah Valley Network
19. Jack Wilson – citizen
20. Roberta Bondurant – citizen
21. Lou Thompson – citizen
22. Mary Wilson – citizen – lives in George Washington National forest -

Public comments closed at 11:07

### **AFFECTED LANDOWNER COMMENTS**

Grace Terry spoke against MVP using her road for a permanent access to the pipeline and reminding us that this pipeline is not in keeping with the comprehensive plan of Roanoke County and therefore does not satisfy part one of our legislation.

Parker Agelasto is concerned that his family’s property is still proposed as an alternative

NOTE: The Agelasto property, Elk Hill in Nelson County is not on the route of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline so is not considered an affected property.

### **STAFF PRESENTATION MOUNTAIN VALLEY PIPELINE**

Martha Little provided a brief overview of the project. Martha said that “In this process we embraced open lines of communication in order to advocate for: 1. the **avoidance** of encroachments on our easement; 2. the **minimization** of impacts on our easements; and 3. lastly as required by law, **mitigation**, for the Commonwealth.” Martha’s complete notes are on file with the permanent record of this meeting.

### **MOUNTAIN VALLEY PIPELINE (MVP) PRESENTATION**

Jena Mier gave a short presentation on the MVP which is on file with the permanent record of this meeting.

DRAFT

Mr. Jeff Kleinfelder, representing the MVP construction team spoke about the construction techniques that will be used on the steep slopes during the building of the pipelines.

Jena Mier spoke again saying that the pipelines are not specifically addressed in the Roanoke County comprehensive plan but discussed how the project aligns with certain goals of the plan and she described the property they propose to give to the Commonwealth as substitute property.

Grace Terry, affected landowner clarified some of MVP comments especially concerning the wetlands being crossed by the pipeline.

The Chair, Ms. Ridder called for a twenty-minute break.

#### **STAFF PRESENTATION ATLANTIC COAST PIPELINE**

Martha Little provided a brief overview of the project. Martha said that “In this process we embraced open lines of communication in order to advocate for: 1. the **avoidance** of encroachments on our easement; 2. the **minimization** of impacts on our easements; and 3. lastly as required by law, **mitigation**, for the Commonwealth.” Martha’s complete notes are on file with the permanent record of this meeting.

#### **ATLANTIC COAST PIPELINE PRESENTATION**

Brian Wilson, the Director of Natural Gas Infrastructure and Development, Dominion Energy presented the revised applications for conversion, an updated timeline of the project and videos of the proposed substitute property. The presentation is on file with the permanent record for this meeting.

Trustee Mr. Richardson asked Brian to speak to the ability of local governments or communities to tap into the gas pipeline

#### **CLOSED SESSION FOR DISCUSSION AS PROVIDED FOR IN THE CODE OF VIRGINIA §2.2-3711(A)(7) & (A)(3)**

Ms. Obenshain presented the motion to go in to closed session:

Madam Chair, I move that the Board go into a closed meeting pursuant to Section 2.2-3711.A.3 and Section 2.2-3711.A.7 of the Code of Virginia for:

1. discussion or consideration of the acquisition of real property for a public purpose, and of the disposition of publicly held real property, where discussion in an open meeting would adversely affect the bargaining position or negotiating strategy of the Board with respect to Atlantic Coast Pipeline and the Mountain Valley Pipeline conversion applications under 10.1-1704 of the Code of Virginia; and
2. for consultation with legal counsel and briefings by staff members pertaining to actual or probable litigation, where such consultation or briefing in open meeting would adversely affect the negotiation or litigating posture of the public body, and consultation with legal counsel regarding specific legal matters requiring the provision of legal advice by such counsel:
  - a) Specifically, regarding the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project and the Mountain Valley Pipeline and associated applications of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline and the Mountain Valley Pipeline under the 10.1-1704 of the Code of Virginia;
  - b) PAA/PEC mediation matter;

This closed meeting will be attended only by members of the Board. However, pursuant to Section 2.2- 3712 (F), the Board requests counsel, the Executive Director, and the Deputy Director of

DRAFT

Stewardship, Assistant Directors of Stewardship and the Executive Assistant as it believes their presence will reasonably aid the Board in its consideration of topics that are the subject of the meeting.

Mr. Slater seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Upon return to open session Martha Little read the following certification:

WHEREAS, The Board of Trustees conducted a closed meeting on this date pursuant to an affirmative recorded vote and in accordance with the provisions of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act; and WHEREAS, Section 2.2-3712.D of the Code requires a certification by this Board that such closed meeting was conducted in conformity with Virginia law; NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board hereby certifies that, to the best of each member's knowledge, only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements by Virginia law were discussed in the closed meeting to which this certification resolution applies, and only such public business matters as were identified in the motion convening the closed meeting were heard, discussed or considered by the Board.

Mr. Thompson replied "aye", Ms. Obenshain replied "aye", Mrs. Brown replied "aye", Mr. Richardson replied "aye", Mr. Slater replied "aye", Ms. Ridder replied "aye", Mrs. Baskerville replied "aye".

#### **ATLANTIC COAST PIPELINE**

Motion made by Mrs. Brown to approve the *RESOLUTION REGARDING ATLANTIC COAST PIPELINE, LLC §10.1- 1704 CONVERSION APPLICATIONS* which was read aloud by Mrs. Brown (ATTACHMENT A); motion seconded by Ms. Obenshain. The motion passed 5 for and 0 against with Mr. Slater and Ms. Baskerville abstaining.

Ms. Obenshain made the following comment for the record: "I am on this board because I helped found and was the executive director of the New River Land Trust. And I was blessed to have Tamara Vance who was then executive director [of VOF] as a mentor and I also did a lot of reading and I made sure in meeting with wonderful landowners who ended up donating several thousand acres of land on the New River and in our region, that they always understood that a conservation easement could be protection against condemnation by a local or state government. But it was never protection against federal condemnation or a federal project because since the time of Alexander Hamilton, federal has always trumped state and local. I'm sure that, I at least certainly hope that other executive directors in conservation ..in this area understand and convey that to landowners. So, I don't think there should be landowners who put a conservation easement on their land who think that it is a shield against everything. The other thing I wanted to stress too is the superb job and difficult job that Martha and Harry and Brett and the rest of the Virginia Outdoors Foundation staff have done in working with landowners. I know as a small landowner it's very daunting when a large corporation with many lawyers and years of experience negotiating easements or coal contracts or any kind of contract. They come and meet with you and you have no experience and they have tons of experience. Martha and the staff have worked tirelessly with landowners, I think as you have all heard, many landowners who originally were going to have their property crossed by this pipeline, because of the diligent work by our staff... the pipeline was routed around their property. For those 10 with this project and then also with the Mountain Valley they worked with landowners to try and reduce the impact and I think now especially with the Atlantic Coast Pipeline there are many, several, probably the majority of landowners now, who are satisfied and comfortable mainly because Martha and staff have worked so hard with them to ensure that the pipeline avoids the most fragile areas and also that they're concerns are considered. This has been a difficult decision for all of us, none of us like gas pipelines, most of us on this board have conservation easements on our family's

DRAFT

properties but I just wanted to state and comment on these two areas that I thought needed elaboration. Thank you.”

The chair, Steph Ridder made this following comment for the record:

“I would also like to echo Beth’s praise of the staff. They have done nothing but to work hard to ensure the integrity of the easements on all of these properties and to meet our mission which is to provide the people of the Commonwealth of Virginia with property which has preserved ‘the natural, scenic, historic, scientific open-space and recreational areas of the Commonwealth’. And I think they have done an amazing job in doing that. The fact that we can’t stop the federal government from deciding or FERC from deciding to put a pipeline across our easements, doesn’t diminish the power of VOF in the state or our ability to maintain the integrity of the easements to the extent that we can. And I think we have really done that especially considering the fact that we reduced the number of easements that the pipeline was going to go across from 33 to 11 and we have reduced the impact of the pipeline. The other issue is I don’t understand how people can say that we would do better to have these easements condemned by eminent domain. You know we maintain the easements on these properties, there’s a pipeline that goes under the ground and I agree that it, were it my choice I would stand in front of the pipeline and stop it, but that’s not going to work and I do think it’s going to have harmful effects on the environment and particularly the water and it breaks my heart that that’s happening. But that is not because VOF didn’t try to stop it in every way that we could and to mitigate in the ways that were possible to us and to obtain some kind of compensation to the Commonwealth for the land that is lost. Were we to go to court and were the court to find, as it would, that FERC has eminent domain, there exists the possibility that no one gets anything but a few pennies on the acreage. There are cases that say that pipelines aren’t even a taking for easements. So, it seems to me in many ways, for us a clear choice. “

#### **MOUNTAIN VALLEY PIPELINE**

Motion made by Mr. Slater to approve the resolution which he read ***RESOLUTION REGARDING MOUNTAIN VALLEY PIPELINE, LLC §10.1- 1704 CONVERSION APPLICATIONS*** (ATTACHMENT B); motion seconded by Ms. Ridder and passed unanimously.

#### **PIEDMONT AGRICULTURAL ACADEMY/PIEDMONT ENVIRONMENTAL COUNCIL (PEC)**

Ms. Obenshain made the following motion:

After several years of staff and counsel efforts to work out a negotiated settlement between Mrs. Martha Boneta and the Piedmont Environmental Council, VOF has been unable to help the parties resolve this matter. Therefore, VOF is withdrawing its offer to consider an assignment of PEC’s easement. We direct the staff to withdraw from mediation and invest no further time in this negotiation; motion seconded by Ms. Ridder and passed unanimously.

#### **MINUTES APPROVAL**

Motion made by Mr. Slater to approve the submitted minutes from the Board of Trustees meeting on 9/28/17; motion seconded by Mrs. Baskerville and passed unanimously.

#### **PTF LAND CONSERVATION PROJECT**

Motion made by Mr. Slater to accept and approve the reconsideration of the proposed land conservation easement listed below; motion seconded by Mrs. Baskerville and passed unanimously.

James H and Myra Lynn Campbell Smyth County 179.64 acres

DRAFT

**LAND CONSERVATION PROJECT**

Peter Huber interim Town Manager of Amherst, VA, Sharon Turner, Samei Murphy all spoke against the proposed Speyer land conservation easement of 482 +/- acres in Amherst, citing that the property is still in a growth area in Amherst County.

Leslie Grayson gave an overview of the proposed easement and the issues.

Motion made by Mr. Slater to table the vote on the Speyer proposed land conservation easement; motion seconded by Mrs. Baskerville and passed unanimously.

**CALENDAR OF MEETINGS DATES FOR 2018**

Motion made by Mr. Richardson to approve the resolution for board meeting dates in 2018; motion seconded by Mrs. Baskerville and passed unanimously. (ATTACHMENT C)

Motion made by Mr. Slater to adjourned the meeting at 3:50 PM; motion seconded by Mr. Richardson and passed unanimously.

VIRGINIA OUTDOORS FOUNDATION  
BOARD OF TRUSTEES  
RESOLUTION REGARDING ATLANTIC COAST PIPELINE, LLC §10.1- 1704 CONVERSION  
APPLICATIONS

WHEREAS, the Virginia Outdoors Foundation (“VOF”) is the holder of open-space easements over certain parcels of land located in Bath, Augusta, Nelson and Highland Counties, which parcels are identified and more fully described in the staff reports dated October 16, 2017 (the “Conserved Land”);

WHEREAS, The Atlantic Coast Pipeline, LLC (the “Developer”) proposes to obtain a permanent right-of-way of 50 feet over approximately 52.92 acres of the Conserved Land to construct and maintain one 42-inch gas transmission line and associated access roads (the “Project”);

WHEREAS, due to the scale and scope of the Project, VOF determined the Developer is required to file an application for conversion of open space under Section 10.1-1704 of the Code of Virginia;

WHEREAS, VOF has accepted public comments since mid-2014, held four public meetings on the Project and VOF has received and responded to hundreds of comments from landowners, local governments, environmental groups and the public throughout this three and one-half (3 ½) year period;

WHEREAS, VOF staff have written at least six (6) official letters to FERC opposing the use of any Conserved Land for the Project;

WHEREAS, VOF staff walked the proposed pipeline route through the Conserved Land and proposed a reduction of the permanent right-of-way from 75 feet to 50 feet and the Developer accepted that proposal;

WHEREAS, pursuant to Virginia Code Section 10.1-1704, the Developer has applied (“Developer’s Application”) to the VOF Board of Trustees for conversion of approximately 52.92 acres of the Conserved Land (the “Converted Land”) needed for the Project;

WHEREAS, as required by Virginia Code Section 10.1-1704, Developer proposes to convey a 1,034-acre farm in Highland County known as “Hayfields Farm” to satisfy the substituted land requirement for the Converted Land in Highland, Bath and Augusta Counties;

WHEREAS, as required by Virginia Code Section 10.1-1704, Developer proposes to convey an 85-acre property in Nelson County on the Rockfish River known as the “Rockfish River Parcel” to satisfy the substituted land requirement for the Converted Land in Nelson County;

WHEREAS Hayfields Farm and the Rockfish River Parcel (together, the Substituted Land) represent a 21:1 land acreage ratio to the Converted Land and will result in a net gain of open-space to the Commonwealth;

WHEREAS, a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (a “Certificate”) was issued for the Project by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (“FERC”) pursuant to the Natural Gas Act (the “NGA”) on October 13, 2017;

DRAFT

WHEREAS, after careful evaluation of the Project, public comments, staff reports and presentations; and in consultation with legal counsel and in consideration of the requirements of Virginia Code Section 10.1-1704, the Board of Trustees has determined the following:

1. The Developer's Application does not meet the requirements of Virginia Code Section 10.1-1704(A)(i);
2. Pursuant to the NGA, FERC's issuance of the Certificate operates to supersede and preempt any authority of VOF to evaluate the Project under Virginia Code Section 10.1-1704(A)(i);
3. VOF retains the authority to evaluate the Project, Developer's Application and Substituted Land under Virginia Code Section 1704(A)(ii); and
4. (a) the Substituted Land is of at least equal fair market value to the Converted Land, (b) the Substituted Land is of greater value as permanent open-space land than the Converted Land, and (c) the Substituted Land is as nearly as feasible equivalent usefulness and location for use as permanent open-space land as the Converted Land;

NOW THEREFORE,

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Board of Trustees of the Virginia Outdoors Foundation and it is hereby resolved this 16th day of October 2017 that the Developer's Application is hereby approved subject to and contingent upon the following conditions:

- a) VOF approval of final right of way easements permitting only a permanent 50-ft easement for one 42-inch diameter underground natural gas pipeline and the associated permanent access roads. No above ground structures are permitted within this right of way, except for above ground pipeline markers as required by law;
- b) Developer or its designee shall convey to VOF fee-simple title to the Hayfields Farm and the Rockfish River Parcel by December 4, 2017; however, such date may be extended by VOF's Executive Director to facilitate an orderly and timely conveyance of the Substituted Land;
- c) Developer shall transfer to VOF four (4) million dollars by October 26, 2017 to: (i) serve as a stewardship fund to support VOF with the operation and management of the Substituted Land, (ii) partially offset VOF's unreimbursed costs associated with the Project, and (iii) be used as necessary and proper, as determined by VOF's Board of Trustees in furtherance of VOF's mission;
- d) Developer shall satisfy all conditions of the Certificate that pertain to VOF or the Developer's Application; and
- e) Developer shall satisfy all obligations, conditions and offers contained in Developer's Application.

ATTEST:

---

Brett Christina Glymph, Executive Director

VIRGINIA OUTDOORS FOUNDATION  
BOARD OF TRUSTEES  
RESOLUTION REGARDING MOUNTAIN VALLEY PIPELINE, LLC §10.1- 1704 CONVERSION  
APPLICATIONS

WHEREAS, the Virginia Outdoors Foundation (“VOF”) is the holder of open-space easements over certain parcels of land located in Montgomery and Roanoke Counties, which parcels are identified and more fully described in the staff reports dated October 16, 2017 (the “Conserved Land”);

WHEREAS, The Mountain Valley Pipeline, LLC (the “Developer”) proposes to obtain a permanent right-of-way of 675 feet over approximately 0.32 acres of the Conserved Land to upgrade and use an access road for maintenance and safety related needs during the operation and lifecycle of a gas transmission pipeline (the “Project”);

WHEREAS, due to the scale and scope of the Project, VOF determined the Developer is required to file an application for conversion of open space under Section 10.1-1704 of the Code of Virginia;

WHEREAS, VOF has accepted public comments since mid-2014, held four public meetings on the Project and VOF has received and responded to hundreds of comments from landowners, local governments, environmental groups and the public throughout this three and one-half (3 ½) year period;

WHEREAS, VOF staff have written at least four (4) official letters to FERC opposing the use of any Conserved Land for the Project;

WHEREAS, pursuant to Virginia Code Section 10.1-1704, the Developer has applied (“Developer’s Application”) to the VOF Board of Trustees for conversion of approximately 0.32 acres of the Conserved Land (the “Converted Land”) needed for the Project;

WHEREAS, as required by Virginia Code Section 10.1-1704, Developer proposes to convey a 10.25-acre property in Roanoke County known as the “Poor Mountain Parcel” (the “Substituted Land”) to satisfy the substituted land requirement for the Converted Land;

WHEREAS the Substituted Land represent a 32:1 land acreage ratio to the Converted Land and will result in a net gain of open-space to the Commonwealth;

WHEREAS, a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (a “Certificate”) was issued for the Project by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (“FERC”) pursuant to the Natural Gas Act (the “NGA”) on October 13, 2017;

WHEREAS, after careful evaluation of the Project, public comments, staff reports and presentations; and in consultation with legal counsel and in consideration of the requirements of Virginia Code Section 10.1-1704, the Board of Trustees has determined the following:

5. The Developer’s Application does not meet the requirements of Virginia Code Section 10.1-1704(A)(i);

DRAFT

6. Pursuant to the NGA, FERC's issuance of the Certificate operates to supersede and preempt any authority of VOF to evaluate the Project under Virginia Code Section 10.1-1704(A)(i);
7. VOF retains the authority to evaluate the Project, Developer's Application and Substituted Land under Virginia Code Section 1704(A)(ii); and
8. (a) the Substituted Land is of at least equal fair market value to the Converted Land, (b) the Substituted Land is of greater value as permanent open-space land than the Converted Land, and (c) the Substituted Land is as nearly as feasible equivalent usefulness and location for use as permanent open-space land as the Converted Land;

NOW THEREFORE,

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Board of Trustees of the Virginia Outdoors Foundation and it is hereby resolved this 16th day of October 2017 that the Developer's Application is hereby approved subject to and contingent upon the following conditions:

- f) VOF approval of final permanent access ROW of approximately 675 linear feet of existing unpaved road averaging 10 feet in width and approximately 10 to 20 feet of adjacent area totaling 0.32 acres;
- g) Developer or its designee shall convey to VOF fee simple title to the Poor Mountain Parcel as Substituted Land within 15 days of the VOF approval of the conversion application, however, this may be extended by VOF's Executive Director to facilitate an orderly and timely conveyance of the Substituted Land;
- h) Developer shall transfer to VOF seventy-five thousand (75,000) dollars by October 26 to: (i) serve as a stewardship fund to support VOF with the operation and management of the Substituted Property, (ii) partially offset VOF's unreimbursed costs associated with the Project, and (iii) be used as necessary and proper, as determined by VOF's Board of Trustees in furtherance of VOF's mission.
- i) Developer shall satisfy all conditions of the Certificate that pertain to VOF or the Developer's Application; and
- j) Developer shall satisfy all obligations, conditions and offers contained in Developer's Application.

ATTEST:

---

Brett Christina Glymph, Executive Director

**RESOLUTION**

**VIRGINIA OUTDOORS FOUNDATION (VOF) BOARD OF TRUSTEES  
RESOLUTION TO SET VOF MEETING DATES FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2018**

WHEREAS, The Virginia Outdoors Foundation (VOF) Board of Trustees typically meets several times a year to consider easement proposals and matters of land conservation policy;

WHEREAS, VOF is embarking on a strategic plan process and will need additional meetings to facilitate that process; now, therefore be it

RESOLVED by the Virginia Outdoors Foundation Board of Trustees, this 16th day of October 2017, That the following dates are hereby adopted for VOF Board of Trustee meetings, including committee meetings in the calendar year 2018.

<b>Meeting Type</b>	<b>Date</b>
Full Board of Trustees Meeting In case of bad weather alternative date	Thursday, January 18 <sup>th</sup> , 2018 Tuesday, January 23 <sup>rd</sup> , 2018
Finance, Audit & Compliance Committee Mid-Year/Audit Review	Tuesday, January 23 <sup>rd</sup> , 2018
Full Board of Trustees Meeting In case of bad weather - alternative date	Thursday, February 22 <sup>nd</sup> , 2018 Tuesday, February 27 <sup>th</sup> , 2018
Energy & Infrastructure Committee	Thursday, March 22, 2018
Full Board of Trustees Meeting	Thursday, March 22, 2018
Finance, Audit & Compliance Committee Meeting Budget Preparation	Tuesday, April 17, 2018
Finance, Audit & Compliance Committee Meeting Final Budget Review	Tuesday, May 22, 2018
Full Board of Trustees Meeting	Thursday, June 21 <sup>st</sup> , 2018
Energy & Infrastructure Committee Meeting	Thursday, September 20, 2018
Full Board of Trustees Meeting	Thursday, September 20, 2018
Full Board of Trustees Meeting	Thursday, October 18, 2018

Adopted by a vote of \_for and \_ against

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Brett C. Glymph, Executive Director